Case 5:10-cr-00211-DLJ Document 34 Filed 08/10/10 Page 1 of 3

1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332)		
2	United States Attorney		
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	DAVID M. PAXTON (CASBN 266940)		
5	Special Assistant United States Attorney		
6	150 South Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113		
7			
8	david.paxton@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for the United States		
10			
11	IN THE LINITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COLIRT	
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
13			
14	SAN JO	SE DIVISION	
15			
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 10-00211-DLJ	
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE MOTION HEADING, IRROPOGUEDA	
18	v.) MOTION HEARING; [PROPOSED]) ORDER	
19	LUIS ALBERTO SANCHEZ,))	
20	Defendant.))	
21			
22			
23	subject to the court's approval, the hearing in the above-captioned matter, presently scheduled for		
24	a.m. The continuance is requested in order to research and answer Defendant's claims with		
25			
26	respect to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.		
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 10-00211-DLJ	1	

Case 5:10-cr-00211-DLJ Document 34 Filed 08/10/10 Page 2 of 3

1	The parties further agree that time should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act because	
2	the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the interest of the	
3	public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance would	
4	deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise	
5	of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The parties therefore stipulate that	
6	this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).	
7	Dated: 8/7/2010 /s/	
8	MANUEL ARAUJO Defense Attorney	
9	Defense Automey	
10	Dated: 8/7/2010/s/	
11	Special Assistant United States Attorney	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	//	
24		
25		
26		
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER	

No. CR 10-00211-DLJ

1 |PROPOSED| ORDER 2 The parties have jointly requested a continuance of the hearing set for Tuesday, August 3 31, 2010 at 11:00 a.m., allow time for the government to make a sentencing recommendation. 4 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date 5 presently set for Tuesday, August 31, 2010 at 11:00 a.m., be continued to Tuesday, September 7, 6 2010, at 11:00 a.m. 7 Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded 8 under the Speedy Trial Act from August 31, 2010 to September 7, 2010. The Court finds, based 9 on the aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested 10 continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure 11 to grant the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for 12 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a 13 miscarriage of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made 14 under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv). 15 Dated: August 10, 2010 16 17 United States District Judge 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26